## REMARKS

## I. Summary of the Office Action

In its correspondence mailed August 15, 2008, the Office rejected Claim 46 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as indefinite. In addition, the Office rejected all pending claims on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over Claim 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6 926 912

#### II. Amendment

Allowance of the present application is respectfully requested. By the present amendment, Claim 46 has been cancelled. No new matter is added by this amendment.

# III. The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Is No Longer Applicable

The Office's rejection of Claim 46 as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph is no longer applicable as the Applicant has cancelled Claim 46. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests this rejection be withdrawn.

## III. The Double-Patenting Rejection Should be Withdrawn

Applicant has submitted a terminal disclaimer accompanying this correspondence. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office withdrawn its rejection on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over Claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,926,912.

The instant Application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 14, 2008

Joseph A. Mahoney Registration No. 38,956

CUSTOMER NUMBER 26565 MAYER BROWN LLP

P.O. Box 2828 Chicago, IL 60690-2828 Telephone: (312) 701-8979

Facsimile: (312) 706-8530